



# UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
	1		+	•
08/158,029	11/26/93	FILEPP	( <del>-</del> )	PRINOU7
				EXAMINER
		,	AMSBUR	Y . W
		E3M1/0428		
PAUL C. SCIFO			ART UNIT	F PAPER NUMBER
233 BROADWAY				2
SUITE 4703		•	2307	$\sim$
NEW YORK, I	NY 10279	•	DATE MAILED:	•
•	•	•	DATE MAILED:	

This is a communication from the examiner in charge COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK	of your application.	. U4/28/94
This application has been examined	Responsive to communication filed on 12-2	
A shortened statutory period for response to t Failure to respond within the period for respon	this action is set to expire month(s) mse will cause the application to become abandoned.	
Part THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT	(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:	
Notice of References Cited by Example 1 Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, P	PTO-1449. 4. Notice of info	tent Drawing, PTO-948.  ormal Patent Application, Form PTO-152.
COLUMN CO		
Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION		•
Claims /-/S		are pending in the application.
Of the above, claims		are withdrawn from consideration.
Z Claims		have been cancelled.
- Viamis		are allowed.
3. L Claims		are anowed.
4. Claims	·· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	are rejected.
5. Claims		are objected to.
o 🗆 Oletinia	are s	subject to restriction or election requirement.
6. LJ Claims		
7. This application has been filed wit	th informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are a	acceptable for examination purposes.
8.  Formal drawings are required in re	esponse to this Office action.	
9. The corrected or substitute drawing are acceptable and acceptable	ngs have been received on eptable (see explanation or Notice re Patent Drawing,	Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings
•		
10. The proposed additional or substitution of substitution of substitution of the sub	itute sheet(s) of drawings, filed one	_ nas (nave) been 🗀 approved by the .
	, filed on, has been 🔲 approv	wed  disapproved (see explanation).
	,	
	claim for priority under U.S.C. 119. The certified copy	has Li been received Li not been received
been filed in parent application	on, serial no; filed on _	
13. Since this application appears to accordance with the practice under	be in condition for allowance except for formal matte ler Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	ers, prosecution as to the merits is closed in
14.  Other		
,	-	

Art Unit: 2307

# CLAIMS 1-15 ARE PENDING

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

In claim 2 the phrase "locators ... indexed to designation which identify" appears to have missing articles or plurality.

Similar problems occur in claims 2, 11 and elsewhere.

Appropriate correction is required.

2. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

# In claim 1:

The phrase "a record request ... identification of the record" at lines 9-12 appears to imply that a specific record is involved. In that case, it is thought that the record must be identified in order to request it; it is not clear how the locator differs from the record identifier to which it is "indexed" at line 5.

It is not clear where or from what set a locator is "selected" at line 11. In particular it is not stated that it is selected from the presented group.

Art Unit: 2307

The term "facilitates its retrieval" at line 12 is not equivalent to "finding" or "not finding", as promised by "method of searching". Thus the limitations recited in the body of the claim are not functionally related to the limitations as set forth in the preamble.

# In claim 2:

15 |-1

B. S. W. B. R. R. T. T. B.

 There is no proper antecedent of "the locators" in line 3 of claim 1. It is not clear how locators are to be indexed to both "record identifiers" at line 5, and "designation" (sic) at lines 2-3 of claim 2.

### In claim 3:

Either the mnemonics are the locators, in which case they are already "indexed to identifiers" at line 5 of claim 1, or the phrase "the locator mnemonics" has no proper antecedent.

### In claim 4:

This claim seems to imply at lines 5-6 that "locator table" contains record identifiers, not "locator mnemonics" as in claim 3, or some pointer to record identifiers as implied by "indexed to record identifiers" in claim 1. The intention is not clear.

Art Unit: 2307

### In claim 5:

" " 5 G

The phrase "arranging the locators as keywords" is not clear, as either they <u>are</u> keywords, or mere arrangement cannot make them so. At this point in the claim dependency chain, the "locators" have several apparently contradictory aspects and/or multiple roles.

It is further not clear how to conform "entered at the reception system" and "with a plurality of search strategies". In particular, this reads on a user having a strategy in mind, rather than a selection provided by the system itself. There is no structure in the claim to support the latter. A similar analysis applies to claims 9 and 10.

# In claim 6:

The state of the s

124

It is not clear how "records" can be "interactive applications" within the common lexicon of the art. There is not even support in the claim for retrieving a object oriented object which includes interactive methods and then invoking them, or the like. It is also not clear how mere arrangement can generate applications.

# In claim 10:

It is not clear how the phrase "a listing by subject category" is related to the rest of the method.

Art Unit: 2307

### In claim 11:

The phrase "the available table codings" at lines 14-15 has no proper antecedent.

At line 16, it is not clear where "the table" is transmitted from. At line 18, the phrase "the table identified applications" does not have a proper antecedent.

Perhaps because of the confusion of plurality concerning "application" at line 1, it is not clear whether <u>all</u> applications are processed, or only a "found" one as implied by "method of searching". In either case, the limitations as set forth in the body of the claim are not functionally related to those set forth in the preamble.

# In claim 12:

The phrase "the coding" at line 3 has no proper antecedent; it is used as a noun, not a verb, in claim 11. It is not clear what is intended by "referring to the objects". It is not clear what is meant by "objects that make up applications", since objects are inherently passive and applications are generally proactive.

The second secon

Art Unit: 2307

### In claim 13:

The phrase "the table code identifiers" does not have a proper antecedent. It is not clear whether "query for applications in ... procedures" is intended to place the query or place the application in the procedures. It is also not clear if translating multiple procedures into a common one is to take place before or after the "receiving" and the placement spoken to above.

### In claim 14:

It is not clear if the query is to fit into the categories of selection mentioned, or if a searched-for application is to be included into the group, or if "for" is intended to be -- from -- or some other possibility.

### In claim 15:

The phrase "the corresponding text and graphic data" has no proper antecedent. It is not clear how to carry out "executing the objects". It is not clear what is the relationship between "to present ... data for review" and applications, objects, groups, and the selection process.

 Art Unit: 2307

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -
(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Cichelli et al, USP 4,429,385, Method and Apparatus for Digital Serial Scanning With Hierarchical and Relational Access.

Cichelli et al (Cichelli) corresponds to the elements of the claims as follows:

In claim 1:

"record locators indexed to record identifiers"

(Col 8 lines 43-44, and 55-58, where the headnote serves as a record identifier. Physical record location in a stream of frames is done in Cichelli with a key determined from user input and implemented in the receiver circuitry. This is the indexing mechanism. The record locators have two aspects, a path part and a display part, col 8 line 64 to col 9 line 17. The display part is seen by the user appear as items listed in menus on a screen, and it is the path part from which the key is generated, col 9 lines 5-29.)

Art Unit: 2307

न्यक्ती महस्य

"arranging the locators in ... groups"

(Col 8 lines 15-16, where the list of menu items form a group of locators. See also col 5 lines 25-28, 42-50, 61-68; col 6 lines 1-7 and 21-23, where two types logical organization can be imposed on the data frames by the system of Cichelli. Both determine groups of frames.)

"generating a ... group code in response to a record request ..."; "designating the ... groups by code"

(One aspect of the group code in Cichelli is the display part presented in a menu, with the locator of a group or record determined as a sequence of choices of display items. The path part of such a locator determines a code in a pattern matcher, which controls the selection, as discussed at col 9 lines 36-63. In more detail: Col 8 line 64 to col 9 line 4 describes frame identifiers. Groups of them are selected on the basis of a path number list, col 9 lines 5-29, which determines a group as a subtree as in FIG 3A. The group code determines the pattern of frames grabbed from the stream, as described at col 9 lines 47-63. In particular, the role of the user in evoking the group code is indicated at col 9 lines 23-29.)

Art Unit: 2307

### In claim 2:

4 4 27 30 30 3

"setting the locators as mnemonics"

(Col 9 lines 23-29, where the menu item is the mnemonic for the numbers which determine screens that determine the frame grabber patterns.)

### In claim 3:

 "arranging the locator mnemonics in tables"

(Col 8 lines 15-16 and col 9 lines 23-29, where a list of menu items is such a table.)

### In claim 4:

"codes as ... character strings"

(The locators can be alphabetical, as noted at col 5 line 40 tet seq.)

### In claim 5:

"entered ... with a plurality of search strategies"

(Col 5 line 10 to col 6 line 68; in particular, col 5 lines

10-12 and 37-41, and 52-54, and 61-62, and col 6 lines 40-64.)

Art Unit: 2307

### In claim 6:

"records ... are interactive applications ..."

(Cichelli is clearly interactive, and retrieved data in the form of microcomputer programs is explicit taught at col 8 lines 45-47. Such a program is an object from which an application can be generated.)

### In claims 7-10:

The limitations of these claims are thought to be covered in the citations above, specifically "object identifications", "based on a description", "alphabetical listing", and "listing by subject".

The analysis of claims 11-15 is similar.

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Phillips et al, USP 4,835,683, Expert Information System and Method for Decision Récord Generation.

Barnes et al, USP 4,888,681, Space Management System for Data Files Having Shared Access.

> رانتها المنا

Art Unit: 2307

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Wayne Amsbury whose telephone number is (703) 305-3828.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-9600.

WPA

April 12, 1994

THOMAS C. LEE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER GROUP 230

# NOTICE OF DRAFTSPERSON'S PATENT DRAWING REVIEW

PTO Draftpersons review all originally filed drawings regardless of whether they are designated as formal or informal. Additionally, patent Examiners will review the drawings for compliance with the regulations. Direct telephone inquiries concerning this review to the Drawing Review Branch, 703-305-8404.

The drawings filed (insert date) 1/1/2/43, are	Modified forms. 37 CFR 1.84(h)(5)
A not objected to by the Draftsperson under 37 CFR 1.84 or 1.152.	Modified forms of construction must be shown in separate views.
B objected to by the Draftsperson under 37 CFR 1.84 or 1.152 as	Fig(s)
indicated below. The Examiner will require submission of new, corrected	
drawings when necessary. Corrected drawings must be submitted	8. ARRANGEMENT OF VIEWS. 37 CFR 1.84(i)
according to the instructions on the back of this Notice.	View placed upon another view or within outline of another.
	Fig(s)
1. DRAWINGS. 37 CFR 1.84(a): Acceptable categories of drawings:	Words do not appear in a horizontal, left-to-right fashion when
Black ink. Color.	page is either upright or turned so that the top becomes the right
Not black solid lines. Fig(s)	side, except for graphs. Fig(s)
Color drawings are not acceptable until petition is granted.	, 01,
A PRIOTOGRAPHS AT CITY 1 044	9. SCALE, 37 CFR 1.84(k)
2. PHOTOGRAPHS. 37 CFR 1.84(b)	Scale not large enough to show mechanism without crowding
Photographs are not acceptable until petition is granted.	when drawing is reduced in size to true thirds in assert denti-
Estate to the second se	when drawing is reduced in size to two-thirds in reproduction.
3. GRAPHIC FORMS. 37 CFR 1.84 (d)	Fig(s)
Chemical or mathematical formula not labeled as separate figure.	Indication such as "actual size" or "scale 1/2" not permitted.
Fig(s)	Fig(s)
Group of waveforms not presented as a single figure, using	Elements of same view not in proportion to each other.
common vertical axis with time extending along horizontal axis:	Fig(s)
₩ Fig(s)	
Individuals waveform not identified with a separate letter	10. CHARACTER OF LINES, NUMBERS, & LETTERS. 37 CFR 1.84(1)
designation adjacent to the vertical axis. Fig(s)	Lines, numbers & letters not uniformly thick and well defined,
	clean, durable, and black (except for color drawings).
4. TYPE OF PAPER. 37 CFR 1.84(e)	Fig(s)
Paper not flexible, strong, white, smooth, nonshiny, and durable.	**5(*)
Sheet(s)	National Control of the control of t
Erasures, alterations, overwritings, interlineations, cracks, creases,	11. SHADING. 37 CFR 1.84(m)
and folds not allowed. Sheet(s)	Shading used for other than shape of spherical, cylindrical, and
and rolds not answed. Sheeks)	conical elements of an object, or for flat parts.
5. SIZE OF PAPER. 37 CFR 1.84(f): Acceptable paper sizes:	. Fig(s)
	Solid black shading areas not permitted. Fig(s)
21.6 cm. by 35.6 cm. (8 1/2 by 14 inches)	
21.6 cm. by 33.1 cm. (8 1/2 by 13 inches)	12. NUMBERS, LETTERS, & REFERENCE CHARACTERS. 37 CFR
21.6 cm. by 27.9 cm. (8 1/2 by 11 inches)	1.84(p)
21.0 cm. by 29.7 cm. (DIN size A4)	Numbers and reference characters not plain and legible. 37 CFR
All drawing sheets not the same size. Sheet(s)	1.84(p)(l) Fig(s)
Drawing sheet not an acceptable size. Sheet(s)	
	Numbers and reference characters used in conjuction with
6. MARGINS. 37 CFR 1.84(g): Acceptable margins:	brackets, inverted commas, or enclosed within outlines. 37 CFR
Paper size	1.84(p)(l) Fig(s)
21.6 cm. X 35.6 cm. 21.6 cm X 33.1 cm. 21 cm. X 27.9 cm. 21 cm. X 29.7 cm.	Numbers and reference characters not oriented in same direction as
(8 1/2 X 14 inches) (8 1/2 X 13 inches) (8 1/2 X 11 inches) (DIN Size A4)	the view. 37 CFR 1.84(p)(l) Fig(s)
T 5.1 cm. (2") 2.5 cm. (1") 2.5 cm. (1") 2.5 cm.	English alphabet not used. 37 CFR 1.84(p)(2)
L .64 cm. (1/4") .64 cm. (1/4") .64 cm. (1/4") 2.5 cm.	Fig(s)
R .64 cm. (1/4") .64 cm. (1/4") .64 cm. (1/4") 1.5 cm.	Numbers, letters, and reference characters do not measure at least
B .64 cm. (1/4") .64 cm. (1/4") 1.0 cm.	.32 cm. (1/8 inch) in height. 37 CFR(p)(3)
Margins do not conform to chart above.	Fig(s)
Sheet(s)	
Top (T) Left (L)Right (R)Bottom (B)	13. LEAD LINES. 37 CFR 1.84(q)
7. VIEWS. 37 CFR 1.84(h)	Lead lines cross each other. Fig(s)
REMINDER: Specification may require revision to correspond to	
	Lead lines missing. Fig(s)
drawing changes.	Lead lines not as short as possible. Fig(s)
All views not grouped together. Fig(s)	
Views connected by projection lines. Fig(s)	14. NUMBERING OF SHEETS OF DRAWINGS. 37 CFR 1.84(t)
Views contain center lines. Fig(s)	Number appears in top margin. Fig(s)
Partial views. 37 CFR 1.84(h)(2)	Number not larger than reference characters.
Separate sheets not linked edge to edge.	Fig(s)
Fig(s)	Sheets not numbered consecutively, and in Arabic numerals.
View and enlarged view not labeled separately.	beginning with number 1. Sheet(s)
Fig(s)	
Long view relationship between different parts not clear and	15 NUMBER OF VIEWS 27 CER 104/3
unambiguous: 37 CFR 1.84(h)(2)(ii)	15. NUMBER OF VIEWS. 37 CFR 1.84(u)
Fig(s)	Views not numbered consecutively, and in Arabic numerals,
Sectional views. 37 CFR 1.84(h)(3)	beginning with number 1. Fig(s)
Hatching not indicated for sectional portions of an object.	View numbers not preceded by the abbreviation Fig.
Fig(s)	Fig(s)
Hatching of regularly spaced oblique parallel lines not spaced	Single view contains a view number and the abbreviation Fig.
sufficiently. Fig(s)	Numbers not larger than reference characters.
Hatching not at substantial angle to surrounding axes or principal	Fig(s)
lines. Fig(s)	
Cross section not drawn same as view with parts in cross section	16. CORRECTIONS. 37 CFR 1.84(w)
	Corrections not durable and permanent. Fig(s)
with regularly spaced parallel oblique strokes.	Conceions not out and permanent. Fig(s)
Hatching of inversed different elements not encled in a different	
Hatching of juxtaposed different elements not angled in a different	17. DESIGN DRAWING. 37 CFR 1.152
way. Fig(s)	Surface shading shown not appropriate. Fig(s)
Alternate position. 37 CFR 1.84(h)(4)	Solid black shading not used for color contrast.
A separate view required for a moved position.	Fig(s)
Fig(s)	, 1
7	note the